

Cantor Primes as Prime-Valued Cyclotomic Polynomials

Christian Salas

The Open University, Walton Hall, Milton Keynes, MK7 6AA, United Kingdom
e-mail: c.p.h.salas@open.ac.uk

Abstract

Cantor primes are primes p such that $1/p$ belongs to the middle-third Cantor set. One way to look at them is as containing the base-3 analogues of the famous Mersenne primes, which encompass all base-2 repunit primes, i.e., primes consisting of a contiguous sequence of 1's in base 2 and satisfying an equation of the form $p + 1 = 2^q$. The Cantor primes encompass all base-3 repunit primes satisfying an equation of the form $2p + 1 = 3^q$, and I show that in general all Cantor primes > 3 satisfy a closely related equation of the form $2pK + 1 = 3^q$, with the base-3 repunits being the special case $K = 1$. I use this to prove that the Cantor primes > 3 are exactly the prime-valued cyclotomic polynomials of the form $\Phi_s(3^{s^j}) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Significant open problems concern the infinitude of these, making Cantor primes perhaps more interesting than previously realised.

Keywords: *Cantor set, prime numbers, cyclotomic polynomials*

Mathematics Subject Classification: *11A41, 11R09*

1 Introduction

Any base- N repunit prime p is a cyclotomic polynomial evaluated at N , $\Phi_q(N)$, with q also prime, i.e.,

$$p = \Phi_q(N) = \frac{N^q - 1}{N - 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} N^k \quad (1)$$

It is therefore expressible as a contiguous sequence of 1's in base N . For example, $p = 31$ satisfies (1) for $N = 2$ and $q = 5$ and can be expressed as 11111 in base 2. The term *repunit* was coined by A. H. Beiler [1] to indicate that numbers like these consist of repeated units.

The case $N = 2$ corresponds to the famous Mersenne primes on which there is a vast literature [6]. They are sequence number A000668 in The Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences [7] and are exactly the prime-valued cyclotomic polynomials of the form $\Phi_s(2) \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

In this note I show that *Cantor primes* can be characterised in a similar way as being exactly the prime-valued cyclotomic polynomials of the form $\Phi_s(3^{s^j}) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. They are primes whose reciprocals belong to the middle-third Cantor set \mathcal{C}_3 .

It is easily shown that \mathcal{C}_3 contains the reciprocals of all base-3 repunit primes, i.e., those primes p which satisfy an equation of the form $2p + 1 = 3^q$ with q prime. \mathcal{C}_3 is a fractal consisting of all the points in $[0, 1]$ which have non-terminating base-3 representations involving only the digits 0 and 2. Rearranging (1) to get the infinite series

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{N-1}{N^q-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{N-1}{N^{qk}} \quad (2)$$

and putting $N = 3$ shows that those primes p which satisfy $2p+1 = 3^q$ are such that $\frac{1}{p}$ can be expressed in base 3 using only zeros and the digit 2. This single digit 2 will appear periodically in the base-3 representation of $\frac{1}{p}$ at positions which are multiples of q . Since only zeros and the digit 2 appear in the ternary representation of $\frac{1}{p}$, $\frac{1}{p}$ is never removed in the construction of \mathcal{C}_3 , so $\frac{1}{p}$ must belong to \mathcal{C}_3 .

Base-3 repunit primes are sequence number A076481 in The Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences and the exact analogues of the Mersenne primes, i.e., they are the case $N = 3$ in (1). In the next section I show that Cantor primes > 3 more generally satisfy a closely related equation of the form $2pK + 1 = 3^q$, with the base-3 repunits being the special case $K = 1$. A subsequent section proves that the Cantor primes > 3 are exactly the prime-valued cyclotomic polynomials of the form $\Phi_s(3^{s^j}) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and a final section considers related open problems.

2 An Exponential Equation Characterising All Cantor Primes

Theorem 2.1. *A prime number $p > 3$ is a Cantor prime if and only if it satisfies an equation of the form $2pK + 1 = 3^q$ where q is the order of 3 modulo p and K is a sum of non-negative powers of 3 each smaller than 3^q .*

Comment. The base-3 repunit primes are then the special case in which $K = 3^0 = 1$. An example is 13, which satisfies $2p + 1 = 3^3$. A counterexample

which shows that not all Cantor primes are base-3 repunit primes is 757, which satisfies $26p + 1 = 3^9$ with $K = 3^0 + 3^1 + 3^2 = 13$ and $q = 9$.

Proof. Each $x \in \mathcal{C}_3$ can be expressed in ternary form as

$$x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{3^k} = 0.a_1a_2\ldots \quad (3)$$

where all the a_k are equal to 0 or 2. The construction of \mathcal{C}_3 amounts to systematically removing all the points in $[0, 1]$ which cannot be expressed in ternary form with only 0's and 2's, i.e., the removed points all have $a_k = 1$ for one or more $k \in \mathbb{N}$ [4].

The construction of the Cantor set suggests some simple conditions which a prime number must satisfy in order to be a Cantor prime. If a prime number $p > 3$ is to be a Cantor prime, the first non-zero digit a_{k_1} in the ternary expansion of $\frac{1}{p}$ must be 2. This means that for some $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, p must satisfy

$$\frac{2}{3^{k_1}} < \frac{1}{p} < \frac{1}{3^{k_1-1}} \quad (4)$$

or equivalently

$$3^{k_1} \in (2p, 3p) \quad (5)$$

Prime numbers for which there is no power of 3 in the interval $(2p, 3p)$, e.g., 5, 7, 17, 19, 23, 41, 43, 47, ..., can therefore be excluded immediately from further consideration. Note that there cannot be any other power of 3 in the interval $(2p, 3p)$ since 3^{k_1-1} and 3^{k_1+1} lie completely to the left and completely to the right of $(2p, 3p)$ respectively.

If the next non-zero digit after a_{k_1} is to be another 2 rather than a 1, it must be the case for some $k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$\frac{2}{3^{k_1+k_2}} < \frac{1}{p} - \frac{2}{3^{k_1}} < \frac{1}{3^{k_1+k_2-1}} \quad (6)$$

or equivalently

$$3^{k_2} \in \left(\frac{2p}{3^{k_1} - 2p}, \frac{3p}{3^{k_1} - 2p} \right) \quad (7)$$

Thus, any prime numbers for which there is a power of 3 in the interval $(2p, 3p)$ but for which there is no power of 3 in the interval $(\frac{2p}{3^{k_1}-2p}, \frac{3p}{3^{k_1}-2p})$ can again be excluded, e.g., 37, 113, 331, 337, 353, 991, 997, 1009.

Continuing in this way, the condition for the third non-zero digit to be a 2 is

$$3^{k_3} \in \left(\frac{2p}{3^{k_2}(3^{k_1} - 2p) - 2p}, \frac{3p}{3^{k_2}(3^{k_1} - 2p) - 2p} \right) \quad (8)$$

and the condition for the n th non-zero digit to be a 2 is

$$3^{k_n} \in \left(\frac{2p}{3^{k_{n-1}}(\dots(3^{k_2}(3^{k_1} - 2p) - 2p)\dots) - 2p}, \frac{3p}{3^{k_{n-1}}(\dots(3^{k_2}(3^{k_1} - 2p) - 2p)\dots) - 2p} \right) \quad (9)$$

The ternary expansions under consideration are all non-terminating, so at first sight it seems as if an endless sequence of tests like these would have to be applied to ensure that $a_k \neq 1$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. However, this is not the case. Let p be a Cantor prime and let 3^{k_1} be the smallest power of 3 that exceeds $2p$. Since p is a Cantor prime, both (5) and (9) must be satisfied for all n . Multiplying (9) through by $3^{k_1 - k_n}$ we get

$$3^{k_1} \in \left(\frac{3^{k_1 - k_n} \cdot 2p}{3^{k_{n-1}}(\dots(3^{k_2}(3^{k_1} - 2p) - 2p)\dots) - 2p}, \frac{3^{k_1 - k_n} \cdot 3p}{3^{k_{n-1}}(\dots(3^{k_2}(3^{k_1} - 2p) - 2p)\dots) - 2p} \right) \quad (10)$$

Since all ternary representations of prime reciprocals $\frac{1}{p}$ for $p > 3$ have a repeating cycle which begins immediately after the point, it must be the case that $k_n = k_1$ for some n in (10). Setting $k_n = k_1$ in (10) we can therefore deduce from the fact that $3^{k_1} \in (2p, 3p)$ and the fact that (10) must be consistent with this for all values of n , that all Cantor primes must satisfy an equation of the form

$$3^{k_{n-1}}(\dots(3^{k_2}(3^{k_1} - 2p) - 2p)\dots) - 2p = 1 \quad (11)$$

where $k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_{n-1} = q$ is the cycle length in the ternary representation of $\frac{1}{p}$. In other words, q is the order of 3 modulo p . By successively considering the cases in which there is only one non-zero term in the repeating cycle, two non-zero terms, three non-zero terms, etc., in (11), and defining

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 &= q - k_1 \\ d_2 &= q - k_1 - k_2 \\ d_3 &= q - k_1 - k_2 - k_3 \\ &\vdots \\ d_n &= q - k_1 - k_2 - \dots - k_n = 0 \end{aligned}$$

it is easy to see that (11) can be rearranged as

$$2p \sum_{i=1}^n 3^{d_i} + 1 = 3^q \quad (12)$$

Setting $K = \sum_{i=1}^n 3^{d_i}$, we conclude that every Cantor prime must satisfy an equation of the form $2pK + 1 = 3^q$ as claimed.

Conversely, every prime which satisfies an equation of this form must be a Cantor prime. To see this, note that we can rearrange (12) to get

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{2 \sum_{i=1}^n 3^{d_i}}{3^q - 1} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n 3^{d_i} \left\{ \frac{1}{3^q} + \frac{1}{3^{2q}} + \frac{1}{3^{3q}} + \cdots \right\} \quad (13)$$

Since $2 \sum_{i=1}^n 3^{d_i}$ involves only products of 2 with powers of 3 which are each less than 3^q , (13) is an expression for $\frac{1}{p}$ which corresponds to a ternary representation involving only 2s. Thus, $\frac{1}{p}$ must be in the Cantor set if $2pK + 1 = 3^q$.

3 Cantor Primes as Cyclotomic Polynomials

Let n be a positive integer and let ζ_n be the complex number $e^{2\pi i/n}$. The n^{th} cyclotomic polynomial is defined as

$$\Phi_n(x) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k < n \\ \gcd(k, n) = 1}} (x - \zeta_n^k)$$

The degree of $\Phi_n(x)$ is $\varphi(n)$ where φ is the Euler totient function. There is now a powerful body of theory relating to cyclotomic polynomials and discussions of their basic properties can be found in any textbook on abstract algebra.

Lemma 3.1. $x^{(n-1)a} + x^{(n-2)a} + \cdots + x^{2a} + x^a + 1$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ if and only if $n = p$ and $a = p^k$ for some prime p and non-negative integer k .

Proof. This is proved as Theorem 4 in [5].

Theorem 3.2. A prime number $p > 3$ is a Cantor prime if and only if $p = \Phi_s(3^{s^j}) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ where s is an odd prime and j is a non-negative integer.

Proof. Assume p is a Cantor prime. By Theorem 2.1 we then have

$$pK = \frac{3^q - 1}{2} = R_q^{(3)} \quad (14)$$

where $R_q^{(3)}$ denotes the base-3 repunit consisting of q contiguous units, and q and K are as defined in that theorem. If q is composite, say $q = rs$, we obtain the factorisation

$$R_q^{(3)} = R_r^{(3)} \cdot (3^{(s-1)r} + 3^{(s-2)r} + \cdots + 3^{2r} + 3^r + 1) \quad (15)$$

If q is prime we can take $r = 1$. Therefore in both cases at least one factor of pK must be a base-3 repunit.

If $K = 1$ then $p = R_q^{(3)} = \Phi_s(3)$, since q must be prime in this case. ($R_q^{(3)}$ is composite if q is). If $K > 1$, p is not a base-3 repunit and by Theorem 2.1 K is a sum of powers of 3, so p must be of the general form

$$p = 3^{(s-1)r} + 3^{(s-2)r} + \cdots + 3^{2r} + 3^r + 1 \quad (16)$$

for some s and r , and K must be a corresponding base-3 repunit $R_r^{(3)}$, otherwise their product could not be $R_{rs}^{(3)}$. But the polynomial in (16) can only be prime if it is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. By Lemma 3.1, this requires s to be a prime number and $r = s^j$ for some non-negative integer j , and we therefore have $p = \Phi_s(3^{s^j})$ in this case. We conclude that in all cases we must have $p = \Phi_s(3^{s^j})$ if p is a Cantor prime. Note that s must be an *odd* prime as $\Phi_s(3^{s^j})$ is even for $s = 2$.

Conversely, suppose that $p = \Phi_s(3^{s^j})$ is a prime number. Then we can multiply it by the base-3 repunit $R_r^{(3)}$ where $r = s^j$ to get the repunit $R_q^{(3)}$ as in (15). Thus, p must satisfy (14) and must therefore be a Cantor prime.

Base-3 repunits are congruent to 0 modulo 4 when they consist of an even number of digits, and to 1 modulo 4 otherwise. Therefore if $p > 3$ is a base-3 repunit prime it must be of the form $4k + 1$.

If p is prime but not a base-3 repunit, both $r = s^j$ and $q = rs$ in (15) are odd, so both $R_q^{(3)}$ and $R_r^{(3)}$ are base-3 repunits with odd numbers of digits, and thus of the form $4k + 1$. It follows that p is also of the form $4k + 1$ in this case.

4 Open Problems

The infinitude of Cantor primes is currently an open problem shown to be significant in this paper because of the equivalence of Cantor primes and prime-valued cyclotomic polynomials of the form $\Phi_s(3^{s^j})$.

In the case $j = 0$, it is known that $\Phi_s(3)$ is prime for $s = 7, 13, 71, 103, 541, 1091, 1367, 1627, 4177, 9011, 9551, 36913, 43063, 49681, 57917, 483611$, and 877843. It seems plausible that there are infinitely many such values of s but this remains to be proved.

The Cantor prime $757 = \Phi_3(3^3)$ is an example with $j > 0$. It is again an open problem to prove there are infinitely many integers $j > 0$ for which $\Phi_s(3^{s^j})$ is prime given a prime s , though all such cyclotomic polynomials must be irreducible.

Previous studies have considered the infinitude of prime-valued cyclotomic polynomials of other types. For example, primes of the form $\Phi_s(1)$ and $\Phi_s(2)$ are studied in [3], and other cases are discussed in [2].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

I would like to express my gratitude to anonymous reviewers of this manuscript.

References

- [1] A. Beiler, *Recreations in the theory of numbers*, Dover, 1964.
- [2] P. A. Damianou, On prime values of cyclotomic polynomials, *Int. Math. Forum* **6** (2011), 1445–1456.
- [3] Y. Gallot, Cyclotomic polynomials and prime numbers, <http://perso.orange.fr/yves.gallot/papers/cyclotomic.pdf>, 2001.
- [4] B. R. Gelbaum and J. M. H. Olmsted, *Counterexamples in analysis*, Holden-Day, 1964.
- [5] R. Grassl and T. Mingus, Cyclotomic polynomial factors, *Math. Gaz.* **89** (2005), 195–201.
- [6] R. K. Guy, *Unsolved problems in number theory*, Springer, 2004.
- [7] N. J. A. Sloane, The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, <http://oeis.org/>, 2012.